

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/418,509	10/15/1999	DAVID ROCHON	7791-0081-25	8988
75	590 04/09/2002			
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND			EXAMINER	
MAIER & NEUSTADT PC 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY 4TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202		Y 4TH FLOOR	JANVIER, JEAN D	
AKLINGTON,	VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2162 DATE MAILED: 04/09/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/418,509	ROCHON ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jean D Janvier	2162				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 14 March 2002 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and of the same of	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. ☑ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>01 November 2001</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2.						
		see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) _ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-37</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer		_				
10. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ment and				
		ERIC W. STAMBER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER				
		TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 009/418,509

Continuation of 2. NOTE: "transmitting a plicitation for feedback regarding said product from said main computer network over said network address to said user's computer" was not previously apparently in at least claims 1, 16 and 31.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant is arguing unentered claim amendments with respect to claims 1, 16 and 31.